UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,787	01/28/2004	Shaomin Samuel Mo	MFA-238US	3987	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 01/20/201 STIA	1	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N		
VALLET FOR	GE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/20/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,787	MO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRESHTEH N. AGHDAM	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	A DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE AMERICAN IN THE AMERICAN AND A STREET THE AMERICAN IN THE	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.7.8.10.11.15.16.28.31 and 34 i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.7.8.10.11.15.16.28.31 and 34 i 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	٦.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication for a line o	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportionity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	}
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ∏ Interview Sui	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-11, 15-16, 28, 31, and 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 7-11, and 15-16, 28, 31, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Son et al. (US 2003/0189892), and further in view of Belveze et al. (US 5,917,861).

As to claims 1, 10, 28, 31, 34, Son teaches a frequency diversity technique that maps an input signal to multiple bands in a first band order (par. 55); mapping the same signal to the same plurality of bands in a second band order but has a different mapping pattern than the first band order (Fig. 2, means 271; Fig. 8; par. 31 and 41); and transmitting the bit stream in the first band order and the bit stream in the second band order for receipt by a receiver without changing a transmission frequency band of the multiple bands (Fig. 2, means 271; Fig. 8; par. 31, 35 and 41).

Son does not expressly teach simultaneously transmitting the mapped siganl to a receiver.

Belveze teaches a communication method and/or apparatus comprising mapping a bit stream to two different frequencies (e.g. accomplishing frequency diversity) and simultaneously transmitting the mapped bit stream to a receiver (col. 1, lines 15-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to simultaneously transmit the bit stream in the first band order and the second band order in order to improve the transmission reliability.

As to claims 2 and 11, one of ordinary skill in the art would further recognize that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the combination of OFDM with UWB in order to transmit large amounts of digital data over a wide spectrum of frequency bands with very low power.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the combination of OFDM with UWB for the reason stated above.

As to claims 7 and 15, Son teaches a frequency diversity technique that maps an input data to multiple bands in a first band order (par. 55); mapping the same data to the same plurality of bands in a second band order but has a different mapping pattern than the first band order (Fig. 2, means 271; Fig. 8; par. 31 and 41); and transmitting the bit stream in the first band order and the bit stream in the second band order for receipt by a receiver without changing a transmission frequency band of the multiple bands (Fig. 2, means 271; Fig. 8; par. 31, 35 and 41).

Son does not expressly teach simultaneously transmitting the mapped siganl to a receiver.

Belveze teaches a communication method and/or apparatus comprising mapping a bit stream to two different frequencies (e.g. accomplishing frequency diversity), simultaneously transmitting the mapped bit stream to a receiver (col. 1, lines 15-16), inherently receiving the transmitted bit stream, demapping the received bit stream according to the first frequency and according to the second frequency, and recovering the transmitted bit stream.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to simultaneously transmit the bit stream in the first band order and the second band order in order to improve the transmission reliability.

As to claims 8 and 16, Belveze further teaches the two signals are combined with one another in the receiver (col. 1, lines 29-40).

One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it is well known in the art and/or obvious to decode the combined signals in order to recover the original transmitted signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to decode the combined signals for the reason stated above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRESHTEH N. AGHDAM whose telephone number is (571)272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. N. A./

Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/CHIEH M FAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611